ORACLE®



ORACLE®

G++ diagnostics: present and (near) future

Paolo Carlini



Renewed interest...

- Between 4.6 and 4.7 renewed interest in some recent and not-so-recent diagnostic issues, eg:
 - c++/48934 ("no rejection reason given for SFINAE")
 - Patch contributed by Nathan Froyd
 - -Wdelete-non-virtual-dtor
 - Patch contributed by Jonathan Wakely
 - many smaller issues fixed (ICEs on invalid, error recovery...)

We should thank Clang++ for a lot of this;)

Renewed interest (2)

- In 4.8 finally we also have "caret diagnostics"
 - Patch contributed by Manuel Lopez Ibanez
 - Quite similar to EDG
 - Location information still needs work (lately making progress)
 - Can be disabled
 - What about expressions in that case?!
 - No ranges (about this more from Dodji)
 - Should we somehow keep the source code around instead of reloading it in case of error??
 - I do see the delay!
 - See the wiki for details

-Wunused-local-typedefs

 Resolving libstdc++/33084 boiled down to fixing a library (ie, <valarrray>) function with this body:

Note the pointless typedef...

-Wunused-local-typedefs (2)

... indeed we had a trivial typo:

$$_Rt \rightarrow _Tp$$

- In PR33255 I wondered if we could do something about this!
- In 4.7, the new **-Wunused-local-typedefs** warning (implemented by Dodji Seketeli) detects such sort of very suspect "unused" typedef.
- In 4.8 is enabled by default as part of -Wunused
 - had to make sure we don't give spurious warnings in some special cases involving system headers

-Wzero-as-null-pointer-constant

 In C++11 there is a proper type for null pointer constants, std::nullptr_t, with value nullptr (*), eg:

```
int* p = nullptr;
```

preferably replaces:

$$int* p = 0;$$

- Likewise in conditionals, everywhere.
 - (*) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C++11#Null_pointer_constant

-Wzero-as-null-pointer-constant (2)

- The new -Wzero-as-null-pointer-constant, available in c++98 mode too, detects such uses of the legacy "0" literal to mean null pointer and helps moving code to C++11.
- First blush, it seems a very trivial thing I did the work mostly to address a PR and, while doing that, learning more about the C++ front-end – but apparently quite a few users are finding it useful...
- ... because we got **many** PRs when the features was still buggy, and one for 4.7.0 too!
 - The latter fixed for 4.7.1, was about "0" in default arguments.

Conclusions (for Paolo's talk)

Please add to:

http://gcc.gnu.org/wiki/Better_Diagnostics

Thanks!



Random bibliography

Some recent C++11 books:

http://www.manning.com/williams/

http://www.cppstdlib.com/